STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

DOO	CKET NO. 08-AFC-13
The Calico Solar Project CEI CO PEN	FITION TO REVOKE RTIFICATION AND TO STAY MPLIANCE PROCEEDINGS NDING ADJUDICATION OF IS PETITION

Intervenor BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") submits the following petition:

1. Section 25534(a) of the Public Resources Code provides:

The commission may, after one or more hearings, amend the conditions of, or revoke the certification for, any facility for any of the following reasons:

- (1) Any material false statement set forth in the application, presented in proceedings of the commission, or included in supplemental documentation provided by the applicant;
- (2) Any significant failure to comply with the terms or conditions of approval of the application, as specified by the commission in its written decision.

Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 25534(a).

2. Pursuant to Section 25534(a)(1), BNSF hereby requests that the California Energy Commission (the "Commission") revoke the certification

previously issued in its Final Decision, effective December 1, 2010, on the ground that the Applicant's application and supplemental documentation contained material false statements regarding the commercial availability of SunCatchers for the Calico Solar Project.

- 3. Applicant originally proposed an 850 MW utility-scale solar thermal project using a wholly new, untested at scale, "SunCatcher" technology. In its original application, dated December 2008, Applicant Solar represented that the project would use 34,000 individual SunCatchers on approximately 8,230 acres; that a "500MW Phase I of the Project "will consist of approximately 20,000 SunCatcher dishes located on approximately 5,838 acres. The 350MW Phase II of the Project will consist of approximately 14,000 SunCatcher dishes located on approximately 2,392 acres." Application at p.1-2 [Executive Summary], annexed as Exhibit A.
- 4. Applicant represented that "Phase I," which included the emplacement of "approximately 20,000 SunCatcher dishes" would occur by 2010. See *id.* at p.2-3 [Project Objectives/Purpose and Need].
- 5. Applicant further represented that "Phase II," which included the emplacement of "approximately 14,000 SunCatcher dishes" would occur by 2014. See *id.* at p.2-2 [Project Objectives/Purpose and Need].

- 6. On May 14, 2010, in order to reduce the environmental impacts associated with bighorn sheep and desert tortoise movement corridor and to lessen potential impacts to two known sensitive plant species, Applicant submitted a Supplement to Application for Certification, under penalty of perjury, again representing that the project would utilize approximately 34,000 SunCatchers on a reduced project footprint of 7,130 acres. *See* Exhibit B.
- 7. On September 3, 2010, the Commission permitted Applicant to propose several reduced footprint project scenarios in order to reduce the Project's impacts to high quality habitat affecting desert tortoise and big horn sheep.
- 8. In response, on September 10, 2010, Applicant submitted an Updated Reduced Project Boundary Scenarios, under penalty of perjury, representing that the project would utilize 26,540 SunCatchers on a reduced project footprint of 4,613 acres. See Exhibit C [Applicant's Submittal of Updated Reduced Project Boundary Scenarios 5.5 and 6 Information, p.3, fig. 17 and p.9, Solar Layout-Scenario 5.5.]
- 9. In its Testimony with Exhibits for Scenarios 5.5 and 6, submitted under penalty of perjury on September 13, 2010, Applicant proposed a revised Project phasing, which would include the installation of 60 SunCatcher pedestals as part of Phase 1a. See Testimony of Felicia Bellows, p.2, annexed as

- Exhibit D. The Applicant further stated that the reduced Project "will allow delivery of first power in a manner consistent with the PPA, and can accommodate phasing to meet SCE's schedule for regional transmission upgrades." *Id*.
- Decision ("PMPD") for the Calico Solar Project, submitted under penalty of perjury on October 25, 2010, the Applicant stated: "While inclusion of detention basins or some other form of flood control devices may reduce the amount of developable land on the Project site, it would not cause a "significant decrease" in the number of SunCatcher units or the power output." See Applicant's Comments on PMPD, annexed as Exhibit E, at p. 17. The Applicant thereby reaffirmed the multiple references in the PMPD that the Project would include installation of 26,450 SunCatchers. *See id*.
- 11. The Calico Solar Project was certified by the Commission on December 1, 2010.
- 12. Thereafter, on December 24, 2010, Tessera Solar North America sold Applicant, Calico Solar, LLC ("Calico Solar"), to K Road Sun LLC. At that time, Tessera Solar announced that it had done so, because had it determined that "SunCatchers would not be commercially viable in the near term." See Exhibit F. [CEC Calico Solar Amendment at page 3-1, §3.1.]

- 13. BNSF has recently learned, however, that Applicant was aware, long before the Calico Solar Project was certified on December 1, 2010, that SunCatchers were not commercially viable or commercially available.
- 14. On May 17, 2011, in a proceeding before the California Public

 Utilities Commission, Daniel O'Shea, Vice President of Applicant, testified that
 he was aware in "September or October" 2010 that SunCatchers were not
 "commercially available." See Transcript, dated May 17, 2011 ("May 17, 2011
 Tr."), attached hereto as Exhibit G, at 69-70.
- 15. Yet, in September and October 2010, when Applicant made its subsequent submissions, under penalty of perjury, attesting to Applicant's anticipated use of 26,450 SunCatchers, Applicant failed to apprise the Commission of the commercial unavailability of the SunCatcher technology prior to the Commission's December 1, 2010 certification.
- 16. And, as recently, as June 3, 2011, Applicant confirmed that SunCatchers are not now commercially available. As Applicant stated in its brief to the Commission on the issue of jurisdiction, "We expect SunCatchers to be commercially available 24 months after securing investor financing," Calico Solar, LLC Reply Brief on Jurisdiction, annexed as Exhibit H, at p. 3. In other words, the SunCatchers are not now commercially available.

- 17. Instead, the commercial availability of SunCatchers is entirely dependent upon obtaining "investor financing," which, apparently, has not yet been obtained.
- 18. Applicant's misrepresentations concerning its ability of obtain 26,540 SunCatchers was a material fact that, standing alone, requires revocation of the Commission's December 1, 2010 certification of the Calico Solar Project.
- 19. It has been manifestly unfair to put BNSF and other Intervenors whose interests are directly impacted by the Calico Solar Project in the untenable position of having to continue to protect their interests, without the Commission first requiring Applicant to demonstrate that the SunCatcher technology, upon which the Calico Solar Project and this Commission's jurisdiction is dependent, was and is, in fact, commercial viable and commercially available.
- 20. In addition, BNSF has been harmed and prejudiced by Applicant's submissions based upon a technology that is not now commercially viable and available. BNSF has expended and continues to expend substantial resources, both human and monetary, and to incur expert and legal fees to address significant health, environmental and operational concerns arising from the CEC's processing and approval of the Calico Solar SunCatcher Project. BSNF should not be required to take actions to ensure the safety of its employees, agents and operations against the effects of a hypothetical solar generation

facility dependent upon a technology that is not now commercial viable or available, even as we speak.

21. Since Applicant never had the ability to provide SunCatchers, the December 1, 2010 certification should be revoked, forthwith. Accordingly, BNSF requests that the Commission not only revoke its original December 1, 2010 certification of the Calico Solar Project, but also stay any and all proceedings in connection with Applicant's Petition to Amend, dated March 18, 2011, pending determination by the Commission of its jurisdiction to proceed and of the commercial viability and availability of SunCatchers.

WHEREFORE, BNSF respectfully requests that the Commission revoke its December 1, 2010 certification of the Calico Solar Project on the ground that Applicant made numerous material misrepresentations in its Application and its supplemental submissions regarding the commercial availability of SunCatchers and that the SunCatcher technology was, and still is, commercially unviable and commercially unavailable.

June 30, 2011

Orest B. Dachniwsky

Associate General Counsel

BNSF Railway Company

VERIFICATION

I, Orest B. Dachniwsky, hereby declare:

I am Associate General Counsel for Intervenor BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF"). I have read the attached Verified Complaint, and know the contents thereof, and am informed and believe that the same is true. I am authorized to make this verification on behalf of BNSF.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Texas that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this verification was executed on June 30, 2011 at Ft. Worth, Texas.

Orest B. Dachniws



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

FOR THE CALICO SOLAR PROJECT AMENDMENT

Docket No. 08-AFC-13C PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 6/7/2011)

APPLICANT

Calico Solar, LLC
Daniel J. O'Shea
Managing Director
2600 10th Street, Suite 635
Berkeley, CA 94710
dano@kroadpower.com

CONSULTANT

URS Corporation
Angela Leiba
AFC Project Manager
4225 Executive Square, #1600
La Jolla, CA 92037
angela_leiba@URSCorp.com

APPLICANT'S COUNSEL

Allan J. Thompson Attorney at Law 21 C Orinda Way #314 Orinda, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net

Bingham McCutchen, LLP Ella Foley Gannon, Partner Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> <u>ella.gannon@bingham.com</u>

INTERVENORS

Society for the Conservation of Bighorn Sheep Bob Burke, Gary Thomas 1980 East Main St., #50 Barstow, CA 92311 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> cameracoordinator@sheepsociety.com Basin and Range Watch
Laura Cunningham,
Kevin Emmerich
P.O. Box 70
Beatty, NV 89003
e-mail service preferred
atomictoadranch@netzero.net

California Unions for Reliable
Energy (CURE)
c/o: Tanya A. Gulesserian,
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph
& Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard,
Ste. 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
e-mail service preferred
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

Patrick C. Jackson 600 Darwood Avenue San Dimas, CA 91773 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> ochsjack@earthlink.net

Sierra Club Gloria D. Smith, Travis Ritchie 85 Second Street, Second floor San Francisco, CA 94105 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> gloria.smith@sierraclub.org travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org Newberry Community Service District c/o Wayne W. Weierbach P.O. Box 206 Newberry Springs, CA 92365 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> newberryCSD@gmail.com

Defenders of Wildlife Kim Delfino, California Program Director 1303 J Street, Suite 270 Sacramento, California 95814 <u>e-mail service preferred</u> <u>kdelfino@defenders.org</u>

Defenders of Wildlife
Jeff Aardahl, California Representative
46600 Old State Highway, Unit 13
Gualala, California 95445
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
jaardahl@defenders.org

BNSF Railroad
Cynthia Lea Burch,
Helen B. Kim,
Anne Alexander
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
2029 Century Park East, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012
cynthia.burch@kattenlaw.com
helen.kim@kattenlaw.com
anne.alexander@kattenlaw.com

County of San Bernardino
Jean-Rene Basle, County Counsel
Bart W. Brizzee, Principal Assistant
County Counsel
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4th Fl.
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0140
bbrizzee@cc.sbcounty.gov

INTERESTED AGENCIES/ENTITIES/PERSONS

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

BLM – Nevada State Office Jim Stobaugh P.O. Box 12000 Reno, NV 89520 jim_stobaugh@blm.gov

Bureau of Land Management Rich Rotte, Project Manager Barstow Field Office 2601 Barstow Road Barstow, CA 92311 richard_rotte@blm.gov

California Department of Fish & Game Becky Jones 36431 41st Street East Palmdale, CA 93552 dfgpalm@adelphia.net

County of San Bernardino Ruth E. Stringer, County Counsel 385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 4th Floor San Bernardino, CA 92415

BNSF Railroad Steven A. Lamb Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012 steven.lamb@kattenlaw.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

KAREN DOUGLAS

Commissioner and Presiding Member kldougla@energy.state.ca.us

Galen Lemei

Adviser to Commissioner Douglas glemei@energy.state.ca.us

ROBERT B. WEISENMILLER Chairman and Associate Member rweisenm@energy.state.ca.us

Eileen Allen

Adviser to Chairman Weisenmiller eallen@energy.state.ca.us

Kourtney Vaccaro Hearing Officer

kvaccaro@energy.state.ca.us

Kerry Willis Staff Counsel <u>e-mail service preferred</u> kwillis@energy.state.ca.us

Stephen Adams
Co-Staff Counsel
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
sadams@energy.state.ca.us

Craig Hoffman
Project Manager

<u>e-mail service preferred</u>

<u>choffman@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser

<u>e-mail service preferred</u>

publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

*Caryn Holmes
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>cholmes@energy.state.ca.us</u>

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Helen B. Kim, declare that on July 7, 2011, I served by U.S. mail and filed copies of the attached **Verified Petition to Revoke Certification and to Stay Compliance Proceedings Pending Adjudication of This Proceeding.** The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at:

[www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/calicosolar/compliance/index.html].

The documents have been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:

 \underline{X} sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;

by personal delivery;

by delivering on this date, for mailing with the United States Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses **NOT** marked "email preferred."

AND

FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:

<u>X</u> delivering an original paper copy and sending one electronic copy by e-mail to the address below (*preferred method*);

OR

depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 08-AFC-13C 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Helen B. Kim

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP

Attorneys for BNSF Railway Company